IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 11615 of 2005

Between:

Smt. Chinna Veeramma w/oRanganatham, aged about 41 years

Hindu, Occ: F.P. Shop Dealer, R/o Marlamadiki village,

Holagunda Mandal, Kurnool District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, Kurnool District.
2. The Joint Collector, Kurnool District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer,Kurnool District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the order of the 2nd respondent in dismissing the appeal vide proceedings in C.S.3/276/2004, dated 28-4-2005 by confirming the proceedings of the 3rd respondent in proceedings in Rc.No.M/829/2004, dated 31-7-2004, without lookingi nto the evidence produced by the petitioner as illegal, arbitrary, malafide against the provisions of Control Order 2001 and consequently set aside the same, pending the disposal of the revision before the 1st respondent.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.K.V.RAGHU VEER

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV.

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed challenging the order dated 28-4-2005 passed by the 2nd respondent vide proceedings No. C.S.3/276/2004, wherein the 2nd respondent confirmed the proceedings of the 3rd respondent canceling the Fair Price Shop dealership of the petitioner.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondents.

Admittedly, against the impugned order revision is pending before the 1st respondent and it is for the 1st respondent to pass appropriate orders in the said revision. Therefore, without going into the merits of the case, it is suffice to direct the 1st respondent to dispose of the revision.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 1st respondent to dispose of the revision pending before him within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after giving reasonable opportunity to the petitioner. No order as to costs.

_____

K.C.Bhanu, J.

24TH MAY, 2005

KM

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1.The District Collector, Kurnool District.

2.The Joint Collector, Kurnool District.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,Kurnool District.

4. 2 CCs to G.P. for Panchayath Raj and Rural Development, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

5. 2 CD copies

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

-

-

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 11615 of 2005

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

24th May, 2005